

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

m

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/042,666	03/17/98	GALVANAUSKAS		Α	A7139
-			刁		EXAMINER
MM91/0330 SUGHRUE MION ZINN			LEE, J		
MACPEAK & S				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LVANIA AVEN DC 20037-32			2874	
				DATE MAILED:	03/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy



Advisory Action

Application No. 09/042,666 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Almantas Galvanauskas et al.

John D. Lee

Group Art Unit 2874



THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
a)	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever expires either three months from the date of the final
	is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expressions.
da da	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The site on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of stermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be stermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The correspondence of the corresponding amount of the fee. The corresponding the period of extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be stermining the period of extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be stermining the period for response or as set forth in b) above.
□ A	ppellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any eriod for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Anni	icant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 21, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, s NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X T	he proposed amendment(s):
Σ	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
Ŋ	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
Ø	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 28
	35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 28
	35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 28
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arboro et al poplinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,013,115).
□ X	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,615,041). (2) SHG fiber gratings do exist (see US Patent 5,013,115). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
□ X	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,615,041). (2) SHG fiber gratings do exist (see US Patent 5,013,115). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,615,041). (2) SHG fiber gratings do exist (see US Patent 5,013,115). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 14-28
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,615,041). (2) SHG fiber gratings do exist (see US Patent 5,013,115). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims objected to:
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,615,041). (2) SHG fiber gratings do exist (see US Patent 5,013,115). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 14-28 Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-13
	Newly proposed or amended claims
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Arbore et al nonlinear material 12 could be a waveguide in view of column 6, lines 44-60, and in view of such known waveguides in the art (see US Patent 5,615,041). (2) SHG fiber gratings do exist (see US Patent 5,013,115). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 14-28 Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-13
	Newly proposed or amended claims